



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,993	07/17/2003	Samuel Wayne Buff	BUFSAM POLAUS	9992	
20210	7590 08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			GORDON, STEPHEN T		
FOURTH FI	LOOR IMERCIAL STREET	I special to		PAPER NUMBER	
MANCHES	TER, NH 03101-1151		3612	3612	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-9 -
	10/621,993	BUFF, SAMUEL WAYNE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Gordon	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 	vn from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	_		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		- - - - -	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-5-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/621,993 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 9, 11-12, and 14-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7-9-04. Applicant should note, if any withdrawn claims are ultimately found to depend from an allowable generic claim, such withdrawn claims will be rejoined with the application. Finally, after detailed review of the claims, it is noted claim 18 additionally reads on a non-elected embodiment only and has been included with the withdrawn claims.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the species of figures 12, 4A, and 8 in the reply filed on 7-9-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the embodiments comprise a single inventive concept. This is not found persuasive because the defined embodiments contain potentially patentably distinct material of sufficiently divergent nature to warrant the requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Claims 2-7, 10, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 2, line 2 is somewhat confusing, and "a front" and "a rear" could be replaced with -said front—and -said rear—respectively to correct the claim in this regard as best understood.

Re claim 4, line 1 is somewhat awkward, and "is" should apparently be deleted from the line to correct the claim.

Art Unit: 3612

Re claim 5, "the adjustable member" lacks clear antecedent basis as such members are recited in the base claim 1 and at the end of intervening claim 3. If "an" in claim 3 – line 3 were replaced with –said—, clear antecedent basis would be present for the term in claim 5. Additionally, the recited head in line 3 apparently constitutes a double inclusion of the head recited in the base claim. Such apparent double inclusion additionally causes the term "the head" near the end of the claim to lack clear antecedent basis. If –said head being—were inserted after "with" of line 2, these issues regarding recitations of the head would be corrected as best understood.

Re claim 6, the recited leg in line 1 apparently constitutes a double inclusion of the leg recited in the base claim. Such apparent double inclusion additionally causes the term "the central leg" near the end of the claim to lack clear antecedent basis. If —said leg is a central leg which—were inserted in place of "a central leg" of line 1, these issues regarding recitations of the leg would be corrected as best understood. Additionally, line 2 is slightly awkward, and —is—should apparently be inserted after "which" to correct the line.

Re claim 7, "the central leg" lacks clear antecedent basis: Additionally, "both opposed sides" lacks clear antecedent basis and could be written as –two opposed sides—for clarity.

Re claim 10, "the leg" lacks clear antecedent basis due to the apparently double inclusion issues with intervening claim 6. Additionally, "of to" in the last line should be —of—to remove awkwardness.

Art Unit: 3612

While a complete action on the merits for withdrawn claim 11 has not been included herein, in an effort to expedite prosecution it is noted that "the leg" lacks clear antecedent basis due to the apparently double inclusion issues with intervening claim 6.

While a complete action on the merits for withdrawn claim 17 has not been included herein, in an effort to expedite prosecution it is noted that "the enlarged opening" lacks clear antecedent basis. Additionally, "support leg" should apparently be –said support strut—for clarity.

While a complete action on the merits for withdrawn claim 18 has not been included herein, in an effort to expedite prosecution it is noted that "the support leg" lacks antecedent basis. Additionally, "the central leg" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Re claim 19, "the slot" in line 12 lacks clear antecedent basis and could be written as –the slot of said one of the base members—for clarity in this regard as best understood.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5. Claims 1, 4, 6-8, 10, and 1**9**-20, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lechner.

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3612

Lechner teaches a cargo securing system including a base member 12+, a slot and a communicating recess formed in the channel of member 12 (see figure 5), an adjustable member with a head 28+ and leg 30+, a first interlocking structure 24a and 26a, and a second interlocking structure 32 and 34 as broadly claimed. Lechner teaches attachment holes 44.

Re claim 10, element 30 defines an eyelet as broadly claimed.

Re claim 19, the device is designed for use with tie straps as broadly claimed.

- 6. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 2, 3, and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note at least Ruegg additionally teaches an adjustable member mounted in an elongated base/track for receiving strap elements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,993 Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

stg